Application Number

Application/Control No.

Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination

10/045,303

STEPHENS, JAMES H.

Examiner

Art Unit

Peling A. Shaw

2144



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,303	10/29/2001	James H. Stephens JR.	263550US8	. 1293	
22850 OBLON, SPIV	7590 08/16/200 'AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			SHAW, PELING ANDY		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	`		2144		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			08/16/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/045,303	STEPHENS, JAMES H.
Examiner	Art Unit
Peling A. Shaw	2144

	Peling A. Shaw	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final reject	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr jinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, ,,	•
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	at does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:		NC	
	SIDE	VILLIAM VAUGHN	1
	aurt RVIS Trum	ORY PATENT EXPLORE)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) PART OF PAPER NO. 20070807

Part of Paper No. 20070807

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The proposed amendments include significant claim language modifications in independent and dependent claims, e.g. in claim 11 "... A computer storage medium storing instructions configured to cause a computing device to execute a method comprising: ..." and in claim 19 "The method of claim 17, further comprising obtaining a first endpoint ...; storing in the training set ...; using, by the modeling algorithm,, the first ..." that require further search and examination to properly determine the scope and the patentability of claimed invention. Thus the proposed amendments facilitate not to be entered.

WILLIAM MIGHN
SUPERVISORY FILENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



*RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116-EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2144

DOCKET NO: 263550US8

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

: EXAMINER: SHAW, P.

SERIAL NO: 10/045,303

JAMES H. STEPHENS

FILED: OCTOBER 29, 2001

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2144

FOR: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MODELING VIDEO NETWORK

RELIABILITY

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated May 1, 2007, please amend the aboveidentified application as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 10 of this paper.

8-11-2007